Winemaking process engineering: On line fermentation monitoring - sensors and equipment
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Abstract

Wine production is directly linked with the monitoring of its production by measuring certain critical fermentation parameters. The critical parameters for the monitoring of the wine production are the total sugars concentration, the ethanol concentration and the CO₂ production. The CO₂ production is linearly yeast growth associated. There are of course some other parameters which although are important to the quality of produced wine they are not measured on a daily basis. In fact a method for on line measurement of the three mentioned parameters can be helpful in many ways. It is less labour intensive as well as it helps in a more accurate and organised industrial process. The present system is using pressure transducers in combination with the CO₂ measurement on line through a mass flow meter. The measurements are taken at the same time and the data are logged in a computer programme.

The correlation of the on line measurements are compared to the manually measurements. The system has been tested for the production of ethanol and for the production of white wine.

The present work has been based on the use of an external system used for the monitoring and measurement of the density as a result of the pressure difference from the two pressure transducers. As well as the measurement of the CO₂ concentration with the use of a CO₂ mass flow meter. Both set of measurements were combined in a model developed for this process.
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Introduction

The on line measurement and monitoring of wine and alcoholic fermentations have been the subjects of various research laboratories in different countries (Boulton, 1980; Blouin, 1996; Sablayroles et al., 1987;1989; Sablayroles & Barre 1986;1989; Bely et al., 1990; Wheat, 1991; Schugerl, 2001; Aguera et al., 2005a,b,c). The on-line measurements of the different parameters which otherwise performed in a manual manner on a daily basis have a drastic reduction on the production cost.
In parallel different projects have been developed to measure the extraction of colour, tannin and total phenolics during red wine fermentations and the in-line measurement of yeast cell mass for white wines. The monitoring of the weight loss has been studied using sensors of pressure fitted inside the fermentor (El Haloui et al., 1988; El Haloui et al., 1989). In this work the sensors have been applied in an external tube linked to the fermentor with tubing. The process can run in different modes and the data from the CO2 mass flow meter are combined with the pressure transducers measurements using a software developed for this process.

**Methods and Materials**

**Description of the fermentation system**

Photo 1. The fermentation system.

**System and Sensors**

The fermentation system consists of a tower fermentor, a monitoring devise which consists of a plastic (Plexiglass) reference pressure tube and a Computer. The computer communicates with the system with an USB interface (National Instruments, USA, USB 9161 controller) which is connected to the wet/wet differential pressure transducers (Part No 68071/72, Cole Palmer, Illinois, USA) and the carbon dioxide mass flow meter (5850TR, Brooks Instrument, Hatfield, PA, USA) fitted on the top of the tower fermentor. The system is equipped with LM35 precision integrated-circuit temperature sensors (National Semiconductor, California, USA) and a temperature control system. Sensors were powered with a Marconi The software used was developed with the LabView platform (trademark of National Instruments, USA). An early prototype of the system has been presented by the authors elsewhere (Tataridis & Nerantzis, 2007; Nerantzis et al., 2006;2007)
Enological yeast strain *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* Uvaferm 228 (Lallemand S.A., France) was used for inoculation. Chemical analyses
Total reducing sugars, ethanol and density analysis were conducted according to the standard methods of the European methods of analysis for musts and wines (EEC Regulation No. 2676/90).

**Results and discussion**

**On line measurements**
The system was tested using different initial sugar concentrations 100 and 200 g./L. Samples were taken and the density was measured both manually and on line. In the first two experiments the functionality of the two sensor systems (density and carbon dioxide) was assessed individually.

Figure 1 shows the results of the density measurements manually and on line under the temperature of 30 °C and initial sugar concentration of 100g/Lt. It shows very close proximity of the two sets of measurements. The gaps on the graph of the on line samples are due to the power cut off during the experimentation (not adequate power supply). The normalization was set to integrate the mean value of 60 measurements per hour.

![Figure 1. Measurement of the Density during the fermentation of substrate with sugar concentration 100g/L and temperature 30°C](image)

For the testing of the carbon dioxide sensor (CO₂) fermentations were conducted with an initial sugar concentration of 100 g/L at temperatures of 22°C and 30°C, respectively, as shown in figures 2, 3 and 4.
As shown in figures 2 and 3 untreated (raw) data from the CO\(_2\) mass flow meter have low background noise. The calculation of the mean value per hour (black line) eliminates completely the background noise. The system responds rapidly to external influence. Carbon dioxide flow is sensitive to temperature change as an increase in temperature accelerates yeast growth and thus sugar fermentation, as well as forced air input as seen in figure 2 at 1650 min.

The fermentation at 22°C was repeated (figure 4), in mid fermentation 2 L of medium were subtracted and replaced with 2L of fresh medium in order to test fed-batch conditions. The CO\(_2\) emission responded rapidly and the background noise does not increase.
Fermentations were also conducted with both systems in simultaneous operation in order to assess their combined functionality. At 100 g/L initial sugar concentration, the density showed greater fluctuations even after the online calculation of the mean value, and differed significantly from manual measurements (figure 5). Possible reasons for this are the sensors range and in between distance as well as a small error due to the addition of the CO$_2$ flow meter, resulting in an increase of hydrostatic pressure in the fermentor. Another source of possible influence is the increase in pressure in the tubes that connect the fermentor to the pressure sensor due to biomass build-up and fermentation. The gas produced in these tube exerts an additional pressure to the sensors, resulting in false measurements. The CO$_2$ production rate was not influenced by the simultaneous use of the pressure sensor system (figure 6). The same phenomena were observed at and 200g/L (results not shown).

![Figure 4](image-url)  
**Figure 4.** Measurement of the carbon dioxide during fed-batch fermentation of substrate with sugar concentration 100g/L and temperature 22°C. Black line represents the mean value of CO$_2$ flow per hour.

![Figure 5](image-url)  
**Figure 5.** Measurement of the density during fed-batch fermentation of substrate with sugar concentration 100g/L and temperature 30°C, with CO$_2$ flow meter attached.
Figure 6. Measurement of the carbon dioxide production rate during fed-batch fermentation of substrate with sugar concentration 100g/L and temperature 30°C.

After replacement of the connection tubes between sensors and fermentor, with large that included valves for biomass extraction, the experiment were repeated (figure 7 and 8). The periodic cleaning of the tubes (biomass removal) resulted in improvement for the density calculation. Background signal noise was significantly lower and correlation with manual density measurements was significantly improved (figure 7). The results are in accordance with those from other authors (Aguera et al., 2005).

Figure 6. Measurement of the density during fed-batch fermentation of substrate with sugar concentration 100g/L and temperature 30°C, with CO₂ flow meter attached, after system improvement.
Figure 6. Measurement of the carbon dioxide production rate during fed-batch fermentation of substrate with sugar concentration 100g/L and temperature 30°C, after system improvement.

Conclusions

On-line wine fermentation monitoring permits the real time calculation of the density and of the CO₂ release rate of fermentation. The obtained data can be used to predict fermentation duration and stuck or sluggish fermentations, as well as timely addition of nutrients improving fermentation efficiency. In the present study a new system was used successfully for the on-line measurement in real time of fermentation kinetics. The system included 2 pressure sensors for the calculation of fermentation density and a CO₂ mass flow meter for the measurement of the carbon dioxide production rate. Experimental results show that an accurate measurement is possible and that the obtained data could be used for the prediction of fermentation kinetics.
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